arXiv:0903.1689v1 [math.GT] 10 Mar 2009

Twisted Alexander polynomials of 2-bridge knots associated to metabelian representations

Mikami Hirasawa
Department of Mathematics, Nagoya Institute of Technology,
Nagoya Aichi 466-8555 Japan
E-mail: hirasawa.mikami@nitech.ac.jp

Kunio Murasugi
Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto,
Toronto, ON M5S2E4 Canada
E-mail: murasugi@math.toronto.edu

ABSTRACT

Suppose the knot group $G(K)$ of a knot K has a non-abelian representation ρ on $A_4 \subset GL(4,\mathbb{Z})$. We conjecture that the twisted Alexander polynomial of K associated to ρ is of the form: $\left[\dfrac{\Delta_K(t)}{1-t}\right]\varphi(t^3)$, where $\varphi(t^3)$ is an integer polynomial in t^3. We prove the conjecture for 2-bridge knots K whose group $G(K)$ can be mapped onto a free product $\mathbb{Z}/2 * \mathbb{Z}/3$. Later, we discuss more general metabelian representations of the knot groups and propose a similar conjecture on the form of the twisted Alexander polynomials.

Keywords: Alexander polynomial, 2-bridge knot, knot group, metabelian representation, twisted Alexander polynomial, continued fraction.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: 57M25, 57M27

1. Introduction

In this paper, which is a sequel of [4], [5], we consider non-abelian representations of the knot group $G(K)$ of a knot K on metabelian groups. First we study a representation of 2-bridge knot groups on the alternating group A_4 of order 12, the simplest metabelian group, which we call an A_4-representation. It is shown in [7] and [2] that $G(K)$ has a A_4-representation if and only if $\Delta_K(\omega)\Delta_K(\omega^2) \equiv 0$ $(mod\ 2)$, where $\Delta_K(t)$ is the Alexander polynomial of K and ω is a primitive cubic root of 1. For a 2-bridge knot $K(r)$, Heusner gives a nice criterion for $G(K(r))$ to have an A_4-representation in terms of the degree of $\Delta_K(t)$ [3]. In the later section, we discuss briefly the general metabelian representation of the knot groups.

Now, let $\rho : G(K) \to A_4$ be an A_4-representation of $G(K)$. We may assume that one meridian generator maps to (123). Let $K(r)$, $r \in \mathbb{Q}$, $0 < r < 1$, be a 2-bridge knot with a Wirtinger presentation

$$G(K(r)) = \langle x, y | R \rangle, R = WxW^{-1}y^{-1}. \tag{1.1}$$

Suppose that $G(K(r))$ has an A_4-representation ρ such that

$$\rho(x) = (123) \text{ and } \rho(y) = (142). \tag{1.2}$$

Let $\xi : A_4 \to GL(4, \mathbb{Z})$ be the permutation representation of A_4. Then ξ is equivalent to $\widetilde{\xi}$:

$$\widetilde{\xi}(123) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \widetilde{\xi}(142) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{1.3}$$

and hence, $\xi_0 : A_4 \longrightarrow GL(3, \mathbb{Z})$ given by

$$\xi_0(123) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \xi_0(142) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{1.4}$$

defines an irreducible representation of A_4. Combining them, we have a A_4-representation of $G(K(r))$, $\rho_0 = \rho \circ \xi_0 : G(K(r)) \longrightarrow GL(3, \mathbb{Z})$, and the twisted Alexander polynomial $\widetilde{\Delta}_{\rho_0, K(r)}(t)$ of $K(r)$ associated to ρ_0 is defined.

From the forms of $\widetilde{\xi}$ and ξ, we see immediately that the twisted Alexander polynomial $\widetilde{\Delta}_{\rho, K}(t)$ is the product of $\widetilde{\Delta}_{\iota, K}(t)$ and $\widetilde{\Delta}_{\rho_0, K}(t)$, where ι is a trivial representation. Since $\widetilde{\Delta}_{\iota, K}(t) = \Delta_K(t)/(1-t)$, the conjecture stated in the abstract is rephrased as follows:

Conjecture A. *For a 2-bridge knot $K(r)$ with an A_4-representation, $\widetilde{\Delta}_{\rho_0, K(r)}(t)$ is an integer polynomial in t^3 (up to $\pm t^k$), namely,*

$$\widetilde{\Delta}_{\rho_0, K(r)}(t) = \pm t^k \varphi(t^3), \text{ for some integer polynomial } \varphi(t). \tag{1.5}$$

We prove this conjecture for $K(r)$ in $H(3)$, where $H(3)$ is the set of $K(r)$ such that $G(K(r))$ maps onto a non-trivial free product $\mathbb{Z}/2 * \mathbb{Z}/3$. The proof will be given in Section 2 through Section 5. Since our proof is similar to those given in the previous two papers [4] and [5], we will skip some details in our argument.

In the last Section 6, we discuss general metabelian representations and state a similar conjecture on the form of the twisted Alexander polynomials. We give several examples that justify our conjecture.

For convenience, we draw a diagram below consisting of various groups and homomorphisms.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & GL(4,\mathbb{Z}) \\
G(K) \arrow[r, "\rho"'] & A_4 \arrow[r, "\xi_0"'] \arrow[ur, "\xi"] \arrow[d] & GL(3,\mathbb{Z}) \\
 & \mathbb{Z}A_4 \arrow[r, "\widetilde{\xi}_0"'] & M_{3,3}(\mathbb{Z})
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{Z}F(x,y) \arrow[r, "\widetilde{\rho}"'] \arrow[d, "\nu^*"'] & \widetilde{A}(x,y) & \\
{[\mathbb{Z}F(x,y)][t^{\pm 1}]} \arrow[r, "\rho^*"'] & \widetilde{A}(x,y)[t^{\pm 1}] \arrow[r, "\eta"'] & GL(3,\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}])
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here, (1) $\rho_0 = \rho \circ \xi_0$, (2) $\nu^*(\prod_{i=1}^{m} x^{k_i} y^{\ell_i}) = (\prod_{i=1}^{m} x^{k_i} y^{\ell_i}) t^q$, $q = \sum_{i=1}^{m} k_i + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \ell_i$.

2. $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra $\widetilde{A}(x, y)$

Denote $x = (123)$ and $y = (142)$. Let $X = \xi_0(x)$ and $Y = \xi_0(y)$. We define an algebra $\widetilde{A}(x,y)$ using the group algebra $\mathbb{Z}A_4$ as follows.

Let $\widetilde{\xi}_0 : \mathbb{Z}A_4 \longrightarrow M_{3,3}(\mathbb{Z})$ be a linear extension of ξ_0 and $S = (\widetilde{\xi}_0)^{-1}(0)$ be the kernel of $\widetilde{\xi}_0$. Then $\mathbb{Z}A_4/S$ is a non-commutative $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra, denoted by $\widetilde{A}(x,y)$.

Proposition 2.1. *In $\widetilde{A}(x,y)$, the following formulas hold.*

(1) $x^3 = y^3 = (xy)^3 = 1$,

(2) $xyx = yxy$,

(3) $(xy^{-1})^2 = 1$,

(4) $xyx = x^{-1}y^{-1}x^{-1}$,

(5) $(x+y)^2 = (x^{-1}+y^{-1})^2 = 0$,

(6) $xyx(x+y) = (x+y)xyx = -(x+y)$,

(7) $xyx(x^{-1}+y^{-1}) = (x^{-1}+y^{-1})xyx = -(x^{-1}+y^{-1})$,

(8) $(x+y)(x^{-1}+y^{-1}) + (x^{-1}+y^{-1})(x+y) = 2(1-xyx)$,

(9) $xy + yx = -(x^{-1}+y^{-1})$ and $x^{-1}y^{-1} + y^{-1}x^{-1} = -(x+y)$. (2.1)

Only a few formulas below are needed to prove Proposition 2.1, and details are omitted. □

$$X+Y = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, X^{-1}+Y^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } XYX = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{2.2}$$

Now, let $L(t)$ be the set of Laurent polynomials in t with coefficients in $\widetilde{A}(x,y)$. Write $f(t) = \sum_{-\infty<j<\infty} d_j t^j,\ d_j \in \widetilde{A}(x,y)$.

Definition 2.2. A polynomial $f(t)$ in $L(t)$ is called *twin* if $f(t)$ satisfies the following conditions:

(1) If $j \equiv 0 \pmod 3$, then $d_j = c_j + c'_j xyx$, where $c_j, c'_j \in \mathbb{Z}$.
(2) If $j \equiv 1 \pmod 3$, then $d_j = a_j(x+y)$, $a_j \in \mathbb{Z}$.
(3) If $j \equiv 2 \pmod 3$, then $d_j = b_j(x^{-1}+y^{-1})$, $b_j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Furthermore,
(4) for any $j, a_{3j+1} = b_{3j+2}$. (2.3)

The set of twin polynomials is denoted by $T(t)$.

Proposition 2.3. *$T(t)$ is a non-commutative subring of $L(t)$.*

Proof. Let $f(t)$ and $g(t)$ be twin polynomials. Obviously, $f(t) \pm g(t)$ is twin. To show $f(t)g(t)$ is twin, it is enough to show that for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$,

(1) $f(t)t^{3m} \in T(t)$ and $f(t)xyxt^{3m} \in T(t)$.
(2) $\left\{(x+y)t^{3m+1} + (x^{-1}+y^{-1})t^{3m+2}\right\}\left\{(x+y)t^{3\ell+1} + (x^{-1}+y^{-1})t^{3\ell+2}\right\} \in T(t)$. (2.4)

These formulas follow from (2.1) (5)-(8). □

3. Main Theorem.

Let $K(r)$ be a 2-bridge knot in $H(3)$. Then the continued fraction of $r = \beta/\alpha$, $\alpha \equiv \beta \equiv 1 \pmod 2$, is of the following form. (See [1], [6])

$$r = [3k_1, 2m_1, 3k_2, 2m_2, \cdots, 2m_{q-1}, 3k_q].$$

Consider a Wirtinger presentation of $G(K(r))$:

$$G(K(r)) = \langle x, y | R\rangle, R = WxW^{-1}y^{-1}.$$

Since $K(r) \in H(3)$, R is a product of conjugates of $R_0 = xyxy^{-1}x^{-1}y^{-1}$, namely

$$R = \prod_{j=1}^{m} u_j R_0^{\epsilon_j} u_j^{-1}, \tag{3.1}$$

where u_j are words in the free group $F(x,y)$ freely generated by x and y, and $\epsilon_j = \pm 1$. Therefore,

$$\frac{\partial R}{\partial x} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \epsilon_j u_j \frac{\partial R_0}{\partial x}, \text{ where } \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \text{ denotes the free derivative.}$$

Let $\widetilde{\rho} : \mathbb{Z}F(x, y) \longrightarrow \widetilde{A}(x, y)$ be an algebra homomorphism defined by

$$\widetilde{\rho}(x) = x \text{ and } \widetilde{\rho}(y) = y.$$

We write $\lambda(r) = \widetilde{\rho}(\sum_j \epsilon_j u_j) \in \widetilde{A}(x, y)$ and $\lambda^*(r) = (\nu^* \circ \rho^*)(\lambda(r)) \in \widetilde{A}(x, y)[t^{\pm 1}]$. Then the following is shown in [4]:

$$\widetilde{\Delta}_{\rho_0, K(r)}(t) = \left\{ \det \widetilde{\xi}_0(\lambda^*(r)) \right\} \widetilde{\Delta}_{\rho_0, K(1/3)}(t). \tag{3.2}$$

We note that $\widetilde{\Delta}_{\rho_0, K(1/3)}(t) = 1 - t^3$.

Now our main theorem is the following:

Theorem 3.1. *If $K(r) \in H(3)$, then $\widetilde{\Delta}_{\rho_0, K(r)}(t) = \varphi(t^{\pm 3})$, where $\varphi(t)$ is an integer polynomial.*

Since $\widetilde{\Delta}_{\rho_0, K(1/3)}(t) = 1 - t^3$, the theorem is a consequence of Proposition 3.2 below:

Proposition 3.2. *Under the same conditions of Theorem 3.1, we have;*

$$\det[\widetilde{\xi}_0(\lambda^*(r))] = \varphi_0(t^{\pm 3}), \text{ for some integer polynomial } \varphi_0(t).$$

4. Proof of Proposition 3.2. (I)

In this section, we prove some basic formulas needed to prove the main theorem. However, since these formulas are mostly technical, we omit some details. (See [5].)

Now, we denote;

(1) $Q_0(t) = 1$,

(2) For $m \geq 1$,

  (a) $Q_m(t) = 1 + (yx)t^2 + (yx)^2 t^4 + \cdots + (yx)^m t^{2m}$,

  (b) $Q_{-m}(t) = (yx)^{-m} t^{-2m} Q_{m-1}(t) = (x^{-1}y^{-1})t^{-2} + (x^{-1}y^{-1})^2 t^{-4} + \cdots + (x^{-1}y^{-1})^m t^{-2m}$. (4.1)

We claim first the following:

Proposition 4.1. *Let $k \geq 0$, then we have;*

(1) $y^{-1}t^{-1}[(1 - yt)Q_{3k+1}(t)yt + (yx)^{3k+2} t^{6k+4}](1 - xt) \in T(t)$.

(2) $y^{-1}t^{-1}(1 - yt)Q_{3k+2}(t)yt(1 - xt) \in T(t)$.

(3) $y^{-1}t^{-1}[(1 - yt)Q_{-(3k+1)}(t)yt - (x^{-1}y^{-1})^{3k+1} t^{-(6k+2)}](1 - xt) \in T(t)$.

(4) $y^{-1}t^{-1}(1 - yt)Q_{-(3k+3)}(t)yt(1 - xt) \in T(t)$. (4.2)

Proof. For simplicity, we denote $\mathbf{a} = x + y$ and $\mathbf{b} = x^{-1} + y^{-1}$. A proof will be done by induction on k. First, straightforward computations prove for the initial cases.

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1)\ \ y^{-1}t^{-1}\{(1-yt)Q_1(t)yt + (yx)^2t^4\}(1-xt)\\
&\qquad = 1 - \mathbf{a}t - \mathbf{b}t^2 - xyxt^3 \in T(t).\\
&(2)\ \ y^{-1}t^{-1}(1-yt)Q_2(t)yt(1-xt)\\
&\qquad = 1 - \mathbf{a}t - \mathbf{b}t^2 + 2xyxt^3 - \mathbf{a}t^4 - \mathbf{b}t^5 + t^6 \in T(t).\\
&(3)\ \ y^{-1}t^{-1}\{(1-yt)Q_{-1}(t)yt - (x^{-1}y^{-1})t^{-2}\}(1-xt)\\
&\qquad = -xyxt^{-3} - \mathbf{a}t^{-2} - \mathbf{b}t^{-1} + 1 \in T(t).\\
&(4)\ \ y^{-1}t^{-1}(1-yt)Q_{-3}(t)yt(1-xt)\\
&\qquad = t^{-6} - \mathbf{a}t^{-5} - \mathbf{b}t^{-4} - 2xyxt^{-3} - \mathbf{a}t^{-2} - \mathbf{b}t^{-1} + 1 \in T(t).
\end{aligned}$$

Now suppose the formula hold for $k = k$ and we prove them for $k = k + 1$.

Proof of (1). Since $Q_{3k+4}(t) = Q_{3k+1} + (yx)^{3k+2}t^{6k+4}Q_2(t)$, we see

$$\begin{aligned}
&y^{-1}t^{-1}\{(1-yt)Q_{3k+4}(t)yt + (yx)^{3k+5}t^{6k+10}\}(1-xt)\\
=\ &y^{-1}t^{-1}\{(1-yt)Q_{3k+1}(t)yt + (yx)^{3k+2}t^{6k+4} + (1-yt)(yx)^{3k+2}t^{6k+4}Q_2(t)yt\\
&- (yx)^{3k+2}t^{6k+4} + (yx)^{3k+5}t^{6k+10}\}(1-xt).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by induction hypothesis, it suffices to show that

$$\begin{aligned}
&y^{-1}t^{-1}\{(1-yt)(yx)^{3k+2}t^{6k+4}Q_2(t)yt\\
&\quad - (yx)^{3k+2}t^{6k+4} + (yx)^{3k+5}t^{6k+10}\}(1-xt) \in T(t),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

or equivalently

$$y^{-1}\{(1-yt)(yx)^2Q_2(t)yt - (yx)^2 + (yx)^2t^6\}(1-xt) \in T(t). \tag{4.4}$$

However, it is straightforward to show that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{y^{-1}(1-yt)(yx)^2Q_2(t)yt - xyx + xyxt^6\}(1-xt)\\
=&- xyx - \mathbf{a}t - \mathbf{b}t^2 + 2t^3 - \mathbf{a}t^4 - \mathbf{b}t^5 - xyxt^6 \in T(t).
\end{aligned}$$

Since other cases can be handled in the same way, details will be omitted. □

Now, to prove Proposition 3.2, we need explicit recursion formulas for $\lambda(r)$. To obtain such formulas, we write

$$r_q = [3k_1, 2m_1, 3k_2, 2m_2, \ldots, 2m_{q-1}, 3k_q].$$

Then $\lambda(r_q)$ is exactly w_{2q-1} in [4]. Using formula there, we can compute $\lambda(r_q)$ inductively. We only state formulas without proof.

Proposition 4.2. *Let* $r_q = [3k_1, 2m_1, 3k_2, 2m_2, \ldots, 2m_{q-1}, 3k_q]$.

Case 1. $k_q > 0$.

(1) If $k_q = 2s$, $s \geq 1$, *then*

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(r_q) =&(1-y)Q_{3s-1}y\{\sum_{j=1}^{q-1} m_j(x-1)y^{-1}\lambda(r_j)\}\\ &+(yx)^{3s}\lambda(r_{q-1}) - \sum_{j=1}^{s}(yx)^{3s-3j+2} + \sum_{j=1}^{s}(yx)^{3s-3j}y.\end{aligned}$$

(2) If $k_q = 2s-1$, $s \geq 1$, *then*

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(r_q) =&\{(1-y)Q_{3s-2}y + (yx)^{3s-1}\}\sum_{j=1}^{q-1} m_j(x-1)y^{-1}\lambda(r_j)\\ &-(yx)^{3s-1}y^{-1}\lambda(r_{q-1}) + \sum_{j=1}^{s}(yx)^{3s-3j}y - \sum_{j=1}^{s-1}(yx)^{3s-3j-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Case 2. $k_q < 0$.
(1) If $k_q = -2s$, $s \geq 1$, *then*

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(r_q) =&(y-1)Q_{-3s}y\sum_{j=1}^{q-1} m_j(x-1)y^{-1}\lambda(r_j) + (x^{-1}y^{-1})^{3s}\lambda(r_{q-1})\\ &-\sum_{j=1}^{s}(x^{-1}y^{-1})^{3s-3j+2}x^{-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{s}(x^{-1}y^{-1})^{3s-3j+1}.\end{aligned}$$

(2) If $k_q = -(2s+1)$, $s \geq 0$, *then*

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(r_q) =&\{(y-1)Q_{-(3s+1)}y + (x^{-1}y^{-1})^{3s+1}\}\sum_{j=1}^{q-1} m_j(x-1)y^{-1}\lambda(r_j)\\ &-(x^{-1}y^{-1})^{3s+1}y^{-1}\lambda(r_{q-1}) + \sum_{j=0}^{s}(x^{-1}y^{-1})^{3s-3j+1}\\ &-\sum_{j=0}^{s}(x^{-1}y^{-1})^{3s-3j+2}x^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Using Proposition 4.2, we can show the following key proposition.

Proposition 4.3. *For any* r_q, $q \geq 1$, $y^{-1}t^{-1}\lambda^*(r_q)$ *is twin.*

Proof. Since other cases can be proven in the same way, we prove only one case: $k_q = 2s$, $s \geq 1$. We use induction argument.

Let $q = 1$. Then $r = [6s]$, $s \geq 1$, and

$$\lambda(r_1) = -\sum_{j=1}^{s}(yx)^{3s-3j+2} + \sum_{j=1}^{s}(yx)^{3s-3j}y.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} y^{-1}t^{-1}\lambda^*(r_1) &= y^{-1}t^{-1}\{-\sum_{j=1}^{s}(yx)^2t^{6s-6j+4}+\sum_{j=1}^{s}yt^{6s-6j+1}\}\\ &= -\sum_{j=1}^{s}xyxt^{6s-6j+3}+\sum_{j=1}^{s}t^{6s-6j},\end{aligned}$$

that is obviously twin.
Now suppose $y^{-1}t^{-1}\lambda^*(r_j)$ is twin for $j=1,2,\ldots,q-1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} y^{-1}t^{-1}\lambda^*(r_q) &= y^{-1}t^{-1}(1-yt)Q_{3s-1}(t)yt\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{q-1}m_j(xt-1)y^{-1}t^{-1}\lambda^*(r_j)\right\}\\ &\quad + y^{-1}t^{-1}(yx)^{3s}t^{6s}\lambda^*(r_{q-1}) - y^{-1}t^{-1}\sum_{j=1}^{s}(yx)^{3s-3j+2}t^{6s-6j+4}\\ &\quad + y^{-1}t^{-1}\sum_{j=1}^{s}(yx)^{3s-3j}yt^{6s-6j+1}\\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{q-1}m_j\{y^{-1}t^{-1}(1-yt)Q_{3s-1}(t)yt(xt-1)\}y^{-1}t^{-1}\lambda^*(r_j)\\ &\quad + t^{6s}\{y^{-1}t^{-1}\lambda^*(r_{q-1})\} - \sum_{j=1}^{s}xyxt^{6s-6j+3}+\sum_{j=1}^{s}t^{6s-6j}.\end{aligned}$$

Since both $y^{-1}t^{-1}\lambda^*(r_j)$ and $y^{-1}t^{-1}(1-yt)Q_{3s-1}(t)yt(xt-1)$ are twin by (4.2)(2), Proposition 4.3 follows for this case. □

5. Proof of Proposition 3.2.(II)

To show $\det[\widetilde{\xi}_0(\lambda^*(r))] = \varphi_0(t^{\pm 3})$, it suffice to show $\det[\widetilde{\xi}_0(y^{-1}t^{-1}\lambda^*(r))] = \varphi_1(t^{\pm 3})$. Now, since $y^{-1}t^{-1}\lambda^*(r)$ is twin, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} y^{-1}t^{-1}\lambda^*(r) = &\sum_{-\infty<j<\infty}(c_j+c'_jxyx)t^{3j}\\ &+\sum_{-\infty<j<\infty}a_j(x+y)t^{3j+1}\\ &+\sum_{-\infty<j<\infty}b_j(x^{-1}+y^{-1})t^{3j+2},\end{aligned}$$

where a_j, b_j, c_j and c'_j are integers and $a_k = b_k$ for all k.
Denote $A=\sum_j a_jt^{3j+1}$, $C=\sum_j c_jt^{3j}$ and $C'=\sum_j c'_jt^{3j}$. Then,

$$y^{-1}t^{-1}\lambda^*(r) = C + C'xyx + A(x+y) + A(x^{-1}+y^{-1})t.$$

Using (2.2), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} D &= \det[\widetilde{\xi}_0(y^{-1}t^{-1}\lambda(r))] \\ &= \det\begin{pmatrix} -A-At+C-C' & A-At & -A+At \\ -A-C' & A+C & -A+C' \\ -At-C' & -At+C' & At+C \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

First add the second column to the third, then subtract the second row from the third, and we have:

$$\begin{aligned} D &= (C+C')\{(-A-At+C-C')(-A-At-C+C')-(A-At)^2\} \\ &= (C+C')\{4A^2t-(C-C')^2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $A = t\sum_j a_j t^{3j}$, we see $A^2 = t^2\{\sum_j a_j t^{3j}\}^2$ and thus

$$D = \left\{\sum_j (c_j+c'_j)t^{3j}\right\}\left\{4t^3(\sum_j a_j t^{3j})^2 - (\sum_j (c_j-c'_j)t^{3j})^2\right\}.$$

Therefore D is a polynomial in $t^{\pm 3}$.
This proves Proposition 3.2, and the proof of Theorem 3.1 is now complete.

Example 5.1. The following examples justify our main theorem.
(1) For $r = 1/9, \widetilde{\Delta}_{\rho_0,K(r)}(t) = (1-t^3)(1-t^3+t^6)(1+t^3+t^6)^2$.
(2) For $r = 5/27, \widetilde{\Delta}_{\rho_0,K(r)}(t) = (1-t^3)(4+7t^3+4t^6)$.
(3) For $r = 7/39, \widetilde{\Delta}_{\rho_0,K(r)}(t) = (1-t^3)(1-3t^3+t^6)(1+t^3+t^6)^2$.
(4) For $r = 29/75, \widetilde{\Delta}_{\rho_0,K(r)}(t) = (1-t^3)(4-t^3)(1-4t^3)$.
(5) For $r = 227/777$,

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\Delta}_{\rho_0,K(r)}(t) &= (1-t^3)(1-3t^3+t^6)(1+t^3+t^6)(2-3t^3+2t^6) \\ &\quad \times (4-36t^3-35t^6-71t^9-35t^{12}-36t^{15}+4t^{18}). \end{aligned}$$

6. Metabelian representations

In this section, we discuss general metabelian representations of knot groups. First we define metabelian groups on which the knot groups map [2].

Let p be a prime and let Φ_n be the n-th cyclotomic polynomial. We assume that $(n,p) = 1$ and Φ_n is irreducible over $\mathbb{Z}/p$. Let k be the degree of Φ_n. Let $A(p,k)$ denote the elementary abelian p-group of order p^k, i.e. the direct product of $\mathbb{Z}/p$ k-times. We define a semi-direct product $\mathbb{Z}/n \circledS A(p,k)$ on which the knot groups map. For convenience, we denote $M(n|p,k) = \mathbb{Z}/n \circledS A(p,k)$. Consider $A(p,k)$ as a k-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{Z}/p$ and take a basis for $A(p,k)$, say $\{b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_k\}$. Let T be the companion matrix of Φ_n over $\mathbb{Z}/p$. Now, let s be a generator of $\mathbb{Z}/n$ and fix it. An element g of $M(n|p,k)$ is written as $g = s^\ell a_g$, where $a_g = b_1^{\lambda_1} b_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots b_k^{\lambda_k}$,

$0 \leq \ell < n$, and $0 \leq \lambda_j < p$, $1 \leq j \leq k$. We define the action of $\mathbb{Z}/n$ on $A(p,k)$ by conjugation:

$$sa_g s^{-1} = a_h,\ \text{where } a_h = b_1^{\mu_1} b_2^{\mu_2} \cdots b_k^{\mu_k} \text{ is given by} \tag{6.1}$$

$$(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_k)T = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \cdots, \mu_k). \tag{6.2}$$

To define the twisted Alexander polynomial $\widetilde{\Delta}_{\rho,K}(t)$ associated to a metabelian representation ρ, we need a faithful representation of $M(n|p,k)$ in $GL(m,\mathbb{Z})$ for some m. Now let $N = \{1, s, s^2, \cdots, s^{n-1}\}$ be a subgroup of $M(n|p,k)$ generated by s and let $\widehat{N} = \{N1 = N, N2, \cdots, Np^k\}$ be the set of all right cosets of $M(n|p,k)$ mod N. Using (6.1), we see that the right multiplication of $g \in M(n|p,k)$ on $\widehat{N}$ induces a permutation representation σ of $M(n|p,k)$ in S_{p^k} and hence in $GL(p^k,\mathbb{Z})$ via permutation matrices that is denoted by ξ .

Suppose that there is a homomorphism f from $G(K)$ onto $M(n|p,k)$ for some n, p and k. (It is known that if such a homomorphism exists, then p divides $\prod \Delta_K(\omega_j)$, where the product runs over all primitive n-th roots ω_j of 1.) Then f induces a representation $\rho = f \circ \sigma \circ \xi : G(K) \longrightarrow M(n|p,k) \longrightarrow S_{p^k} \longrightarrow GL(p^k,\mathbb{Z})$.

We may assume without loss of generality that for one meridian generator x,

$$f(x) = s. \tag{6.3}$$

Let $\widetilde{\Delta}_{\rho,K}(t)$ be the twisted Alexander polynomial of a knot K associated to ρ. Then we propose the following conjecture:

Conjecture 6.1. *$\widetilde{\Delta}_{\rho,K}(t)$ is of the form:*

$$\widetilde{\Delta}_{\rho,K}(t) = \left[\frac{\Delta_K(t)}{1-t}\right]\varphi(t),\ \text{where } \varphi(t) \text{ is an integer polynomial in } t^n. \tag{6.4}$$

In the rest of this section, we discuss several examples that support this conjecture.

Example 6.2. Consider a torus knot $K(1/p)$, p being an odd prime. Let $G(K(1/p)) = \langle x, y | Wx = yW \rangle$, $W = (xy)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$, be a Wirtinger presentation. Since $\Phi_p = 1 + z + z^2 + \cdots + z^{p-1}$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Z}/2$, $G(K(1/p))$ maps on $M(p|2, p-1)$ by a mapping $f(x) = s$ and $f(y) = sb_1$, and hence we obtain a metabelian representation ρ_p of $G(K(1/p))$, $\rho_p : G(K(1/p)) \to M(p|2, p-1) \to S_{2^{p-1}} \to GL(2^{p-1}, \mathbb{Z})$.

For example, if $p = 3$, then $M(3|2,2)$ is the alternating group A_4 and ρ_3 coincides with ρ defined in (1.2). Let $\widetilde{\Delta}_{\rho_3, K(1/p)}(t)$ be the twisted Alexander polynomial of $K(1/p)$ associated to ρ_3. Then computations show that

$$(1)\widetilde{\Delta}_{\rho_3,K(1/3)}(t) = \left[\frac{\Delta_{K(1/3)}(t)}{1-t}\right](1-t^3).$$

$$(2)\widetilde{\Delta}_{\rho_5,K(1/5)}(t) = \left[\frac{\Delta_{K(1/5)}(t)}{1-t}\right](1-t^5)^5(1+t^5)^4. \tag{6.5}$$

It is quite likely that for any odd prime p,

$$\widetilde{\Delta}_{\rho_p,K(1/p)}(t)=\left[\frac{\Delta_{K(1/p)}(t)}{1-t}\right](1-t^p)^m(1+t^p)^{m-1},\ \text{where } m=2^{p-2}-\left[\frac{2^{p-1}-1}{p}\right]. \tag{6.6}$$

Example 6.3. Consider $M(4|3,2)=Z/4\otimes(\mathbb{Z}/3\oplus\mathbb{Z}/3)$. Since $\Phi_4=1+z^2$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Z}/3$, the group has the following presentation:

$$M(4|3,2)=\langle s,a,b|s^4=a^3=b^3=1,ab=ba,sas^{-1}=b^{-1},sbs^{-1}=a\rangle. \tag{6.7}$$

Let $N=\{1,s,s^2,s^3\}$ be a subgroup of $M(4|3,2)$ generated by s. Let $\widehat{N}=\{N=N1,N2,\cdots,N9\}$ be the set of all right cosets of $M(4|3,2)$ mod N. Let σ be the permutation representation of $M(4|3,2)$ in S_9. For example, $\sigma(s)=(1)(2435)(6897)$ and $\sigma(sa)=(1264)(5378)(9)$.

Now let $G(K(r))=\langle x,y|R_r=1\rangle$ be a Wirtinger presentation of $G(K(r))$. Then the groups of 2-bridge knots $K(3/5),K(3/7),K(5/13),K(11/17)$ and $K(13/23)$ map onto $M(4|3,2)$ by $f(x)=s$ and $f(y)=sa$ and a homomorphism : $\rho(x)=\xi(\sigma(s))$ and $\rho(y)=\xi(\sigma(sa))$ defines a metabelian representation of $G(K(r))$. The twisted Alexander polynomials $\widetilde{\Delta}_{\rho,K(r)}(t)$ associated to ρ are of the form : $\left[\frac{\Delta_{K(r)}(t)}{1-t}\right]\varphi_{K(r)}(t)$, and $\varphi_{K(r)}(t)$ is given as follows.

(1) $\varphi_{K(3/5)}(t)=(1-t^4)^2$,
(2) $\varphi_{K(3/7)}(t)=4(1-t^4)^2$,
(3) $\varphi_{K(5/13)}(t)=(1-t^{12})^2$,
(4) $\varphi_{K(11/17)}(t)=(1-t^4)^4(1+t^4+t^8)^3$ and
(5) $\varphi_{K(13/23)}(t)=(1-t^4)^2(4-13t^4-9t^8-13t^{12}+4t^{16})$. (6.8)

Example 6.4. Consider $M(3|5,2)=\mathbb{Z}/3\otimes(\mathbb{Z}/5\oplus\mathbb{Z}/5)$. Since $\Phi_3=1+z+z^2$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Z}/5$, the group has a presentation: $\langle s,a,b|s^3=a^5=b^5=1,ab=ba,sas^{-1}=b^{-1},sbs^{-1}=ab^{-1}\rangle$.

As is shown in Example 6.3, $M(3|5,2)$ can be represented in $S_{25}\subset GL(25,\mathbb{Z})$. The groups of 2-bridge knots $K(3/7),K(7/11),K(9/23)$ and $K(9/31)$ map onto $M(3|5,2)$ by $f(x)=s$ and $f(y)=sa$. The twisted Alexander polynomials associated to $\rho=f\circ\sigma\circ\xi: G(K(r))\longrightarrow GL(25,\mathbb{Z})$ are of the form: $\left[\frac{\Delta_{K(r)}(t)}{1-t}\right]\varphi_{K(r)}(t)$ and we have

(1) $\varphi_{K(3/7)}(t) = 16(1-t^3)^8$,

(2) $\varphi_{K(7/11)}(t) = (1-t^3)^8(1-3t^3-2t^6-6t^9-5t^{12}-6t^{15}-2t^{18}-3t^{21}+t^{24})^2$,

(3) $\varphi_{K(9/23)}(t) =$
$(1-t^3)^8(1-5t^6-20t^9-28t^{12}-20t^{15}-5t^{18}+t^{24})^2$
$\times(1-5t^3+10t^6-10t^9+7t^{12}-10t^{15}+10t^{18}-5t^{21}+t^{24})^2$ and

(4) $\varphi_{K(9/31)}(t) =$

$$(1-t^3)^8(1+3t^3-6t^6+15t^9-15t^{12}+15t^{15}-6t^{18}+3t^{21}+t^{24})^2 \times(4+12t^3+36t^6+30t^9+35t^{12}+30t^{15}+36t^{18}+12t^{21}+4t^{24})^2. \tag{6.9}$$

Example 6.5. Consider $M(4|5,2) = \langle s,a,b|s^4 = a^5 = b^5 = 1, ab = ba, sas^{-1} = b^{-1}, sbs^{-1} = a\rangle$. Since Φ_4 is reducible over $\mathbb{Z}/5$, we cannot apply the previous argument, but we see that $M(4|5,2)$ can be represented in $S_{25} \subset GL(25,\mathbb{Z})$ and the group of a 2-bridge knot $K(5/9)$ maps onto $M(4|5,2)$ by $f(x) = s$ and $f(y) = sa$ and hence $G(K(5/9))$ has a metabelian representation ρ in $GL(25,\mathbb{Z})$. The twisted Alexander polynomial of $K(5/9)$ associated to ρ is given by

$$\widetilde{\Delta}_{\rho,K(5/9)}(t) = \left[\frac{\Delta_{K(5/9)}(t)}{1-t}\right] 16(1-t^4)^6. \tag{6.10}$$

In the last three examples below, we consider a non-rational knot $K = 8_5$ and non-alternating knots 10_{145} and 10_{159} in Rolfsen table, and show that Conjecture 6.1 holds for K.

Example 6.6. Let K be the knot 8_5. The group $G(K)$ has a Wirtinger presentation [5, Example 10.5]:

$$\begin{aligned}
&G(K) = \langle x,y,z|R_1,R_2\rangle, \text{ where}\\
&R_1 = (x^{-1}y^{-1}zyxy^{-1}x^{-1}y^{-1})x(yxyx^{-1}y^{-1}z^{-1}yx)y^{-1}, \text{ and}\\
&R_2 = (xzyxy^{-1})z(yx^{-1}y^{-1}z^{-1}x^{-1})y^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

We see that $G(K)$ maps on a metabelian group $M(3|2,2)$ that is an alternating group A_4. (We note that $\Delta_K(t) = (1-t+t^2)(1-2t+t^2-2t^3+t^4)$). A mapping $f(x) = f(z) = (123)$ and $f(y) = (142)$ induces an A_4-representation ρ of $G(K)$ into $GL(4,\mathbb{Z})$. The twisted Alexander polynomial is given by

$$\widetilde{\Delta}_{\rho,K}(t) = \left[\frac{\Delta_K(t)}{1-t}\right](1-t^3)(1-8t^3-6t^6-8t^9+t^{12}). \tag{6.11}$$

Example 6.7. Let K be the knot 10_{145}. The Alexander polynomial $\Delta_K(t)$ is $1+t-3t^2+t^3+t^4$. The group $G(K)$ has a Wirtinger presentation:

$$\begin{aligned}
&G(K) = \langle x,y,z|R_1,R_2\rangle, \text{ where}\\
&R_1 = (y^{-1}zx^{-1}z^{-1}yzxy^{-1}x^{-1}z^{-1})y(zxyx^{-1}z^{-1}y^{-1}zxz^{-1}y)z^{-1}, \text{ and}\\
&R_2 = (z^{-1}y^{-1}zx^{-1}z^{-1}yzxy^{-1})z(yx^{-1}z^{-1}y^{-1}zxz^{-1}yz)x^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we see that $G(K)$ maps on the group $M(5|2,4) = \mathbb{Z}/5 \oslash (\mathbb{Z}/2)^4$. This group has a presentation:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle s, b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4 | s^5 = b_i^2 = 1, b_ib_j = b_jb_i, 1 \leq i,j \leq 4,&\\ sb_1s^{-1} = b_4^{-1}, sb_2s^{-1} = b_1b_4^{-1},&\\ sb_3s^{-1} = b_2b_4^{-1}, sb_4s^{-1} = b_3b_4^{-1}\rangle.&\end{aligned} \tag{6.12}$$

We see easily that a mapping $f(x) = sb_1b_2b_3b_4$, $f(y) = sb_1$ and $f(z) = s$ is, in fact, a homomorphism and we can represent $M(5|2,4)$ in $GL(16,\mathbb{Z})$. Then the twisted Alexander polynomial of K associated to a representation $\rho : G(K) \longrightarrow GL(16,\mathbb{Z})$ is given as follows.

$$\widetilde{\Delta}_{\rho,K}(t) = \left[\frac{\Delta_K(t)}{1-t}\right](1-t^5)(1+14t^5+t^{10})(1+3t^5+t^{10}). \tag{6.13}$$

Example 6.8. Let K be the knot 10_{159}. The Alexander polynomial $\Delta_K(t)$ is $(1-t+t^2)(1-3t+5t^2-3t^3+t^4)$. The group $G(K)$ has a Wirtinger presentation: $G(K) = \langle x,y,z|R_1,R_2\rangle$, where $R_1 = (xzx^{-1}z^{-1}y^{-1}zy)x(y^{-1}z^{-1}yzxz^{-1}x^{-1})y^{-1}$, and $R_2 = (x^{-1}yxzx^{-1}z^{-1}x^{-1}y^{-1}z^{-1})y(zyxzxz^{-1}x^{-1}y^{-1}x)z^{-1}$. We see that $G(K)$ maps on two metabelian groups $M(3|2,2)$ and $M(5|2,4)$. The first group is an alternating group A_4 and a mapping $f(x) = f(y) = (123)$ and $f(z) = (142)$ induces an A_4-representation ρ of $G(K)$ into $GL(4,\mathbb{Z})$. The twisted Alexander polynomial is given by

$$\widetilde{\Delta}_{\rho,K}(t) = \left[\frac{\Delta_K(t)}{1-t}\right](1-t^3)(1-3t^3-3t^6-3t^9+t^{12}). \tag{6.14}$$

Now consider the second group $M(5|2,4) = \mathbb{Z}/5 \oslash (\mathbb{Z}/2)^4$. This group has a presentation (6.12). We see easily that a mapping $f(x) = s$, $f(y) = sb_1b_4$ and $f(z) = sb_1$ is a homomorphism. As before, we represent $M(5|2,4)$ in $GL(16,\mathbb{Z})$. Then the twisted Alexander polynomial of K associated to a representation $\rho : G(K) \longrightarrow GL(16,\mathbb{Z})$ is given as follows.

$$\widetilde{\Delta}_{\rho,K}(t) = \left[\frac{\Delta_K(t)}{1-t}\right]\varphi(t), \text{ where} \tag{6.15}$$

$$\varphi(t) = (1-t^5)(1+3t^5+t^{10})(1-31t^5+12t^{10}-31t^{15}+t^{20})(1+5t^5+52t^{10}+5t^{15}+t^{20}).$$

Acknowledgements. The first author is partially supported by MEXT, Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) 18740035, and the second author is partially supported by NSERC Grant A 4034.

References

[1] F.Gonzalez-Acuna and A.Raminez, *Epimorphisms of knot groups onto free products*, Topology **42**(2003) 1205–1227.

[2] R.Hartley, *Metabelian representations of knot groups*, Pacific J. Math. **82**(1979) 93–104.

[3] M.Heusener, *Representations of palindromically presented groups onto finite subgroups of $SO_3(R)$*, Math. Slovaca, **45**(1995) 193–212.

[4] M.Hirasawa and K.Murasugi, *Evaluations of the twisted Alexander polynomials of 2-bridge knots at* ±1, arXiv:Math/GT 0808.3058, Preprint (2008).

[5] ———, *Twisted Alexander polynomials of 2-bridge knots associated to metacyclic representations*, arXiv:Math/GT 0903.0147, Preprint (2009).

[6] T.Ohtsuki, R.Riley and M.Sakuma, *Epimorphisms between 2-bridge link groups*, Geometry and Topology Monographs 14 (2008) 417–450.

[7] R.Riley, *Homomorphisms of knot groups on finite groups*, Math. of Computation, **25**(1971) 603–619.